## MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK - NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT HON. MILTON A. TINGLING	PART
ndex Number : 114080/2009 J.S.C.	
MANHATTAN JEWISH EDUCATION	— INDEX NO.
	11111 S
CLINTON GREEN SOUTH, LLC	MOTION DATE
equence Number : 002	MOTION SEQ. NO.
ISMISS	MOTION CAL. NO.
The following papers, numbered 1 to were re	<b>A</b>
Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits	— Exhibits
Answering Affidavits — Exhibits	CO. C. C.
Replying Affidavits	
	7 L \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Cross-Motion: Ves No	CIEDOR IN
Cross-Motion:	CIEPKSPA
Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this more	based upon documentary evidence,
Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this mo	a cause of action, and dismissing the of the alleged occurrences. The plaintiff rties wherein plaintiff seeks recision of the and unjust enrichment. The plaintiff standing that the premises were going to of allowed occupants. After entering into vered the permissible occupancy rate was the lease and the execution of an estoppel aplaint. However, those arguments fall ry in this matter and the question of the eamended complaint states causes of otion to dismiss the amended complaint is re considered and rejected buy the court at
The defendant moves to dismiss the complaint dismissing the amended complaint for failure to state a amended complaint for failure to give sufficient notice opposes.  This action arises out of a lease between the palease based upon mutual mistake, or unilateral mistake asserts the parties entered into the lease with the under the lease, approximately a year later, the plaintiff discontellow what it needed.  In this pre-answer motion the defendant alleges letter by the plaintiff effectively bars the plaintiff's conshort in light of the fact that there has been no discover intent and understanding of the parties is unresolved.  As to the remaining branches of the motion, the action upon which relief can be granted and thus the motion upon which relief can be granted without leave this time.  Accordingly the motion is denied without leave	a cause of action, and dismissing the of the alleged occurrences. The plaintiff rties wherein plaintiff seeks recision of the and unjust enrichment. The plaintiff standing that the premises were going to of allowed occupants. After entering into vered the permissible occupancy rate was the lease and the execution of an estoppel aplaint. However, those arguments fall ry in this matter and the question of the eamended complaint states causes of otion to dismiss the amended complaint is re considered and rejected buy the court at